

Serial No. 10/672,950  
Filed: September 26, 2003

**REMARKS**

With entry of the present amendment claims 1, 4 to 11, 14 to 16, and 21 are pending. Claims 2, 3, 12, 13, 17 to 20, and 22 have been cancelled, and claims 4, 6, 9, 14, 16, and 21 have been amended in accordance with the Restriction Requirement issued April 12, 2005, and to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Support for the claim amendments can be found throughout the specification and claims as filed. No new matter has been added by these amendments.

No additional fees are believed due. However, the Director is hereby authorized to charge any deficit, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 08-2525.

**REJECTION OF CLAIMS 6 AND 16 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH**

Claims 6 and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as indefinite. In particular, the Office Action states that the bracket after “pyridin-4-yl” on lines 7 and 8 of claim 6 should be deleted. Further, the Office Action states that “C-[” should be deleted from line 8 of claim 6. These changes are said to be necessary to clarify the compound names.

Claim 16 has been rejected on the basis that “such as” is indefinite and should be replaced by “selected from the group consisting of.”

Applicants have amended the claims to remove the bracket after “pyridin-4-yl” in line 7 and to remove the “C” at the beginning of line 8. However, Applicant’s submit that the pair of brackets around “[6-(3,4-dihydro-naphthalen-2-yl)-pyridin-2-yl]” in line 8 are necessary for a proper naming of the compound. Claim 16 has been amended in accordance with the Examiner’s suggestions.


Serial No. 10/672,950  
Filed: September 26, 2003

For these reasons, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection.

The foregoing amendment is fully responsive to the Office Action issued April 19, 2005. Applicants submit that Claims July 19, 2005 are allowable. Early and favorable consideration is earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner believes there are other issues that can be resolved by telephone interview, or that there are any informalities remaining in the application which may be corrected by Examiner's Amendment, a telephone call to the undersigned attorney is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Kimberly J. Prior  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
(Reg. No. 41,483)  
340 Kingsland Street  
Nutley, New Jersey 07110  
Telephone: (973) 235-6208  
Telefax: (973) 235-2363

155457